Goal-Based and Systems-Based Approaches to Training Evaluation

Evaluation Approach	Goal Based	Systems-Based		
Evaluation Model	Kirkpatrick (1959)	CIPP Model (1987)	IPO Model (1990)	TVS Model (1994)
Characteristics	Level 1: Reaction: to gather data on participants reactions at the end of a training programme	1. Context: obtain objective and relevant information about the situation to decide on training needs and to establish programme objectives	1. Input: evaluation of system performance indicators such as trainee qualifications, availability of materials, appropriateness of training, etc.	1. Situation: collect pre- training data to determine current levels of performance within the organisation; define a desirable level of future performance
	Level 2: Learning: to assess whether the learning objectives for the training programme were met	2. Input: identify educational strategies most likely to achieve the desired result	2. Process: embraces planning, design, development, and delivery of training programmes	2. Intervention: identifying the reason for the existence of the gap between the present and desirable performance to find out if training is the solution to the problem
	Level 3: Behaviour: to assess whether job performance changes as a result of training	3. Process: assess the implementation of the educational programme	3. Output: Gather data resulting from the training interventions	3. Impact: evaluate the difference between the preand post-training data
	Level 4: Results: to assess costs against benefits of training programmes, i.e., organisational impact in terms of reduced costs, improved quality of work, increased quantity of work, etc.	4. Product: gather information regarding the results of the educational intervention to interpret its worth and merit	4. Outcomes: longer-term results associated with improvement in the organisation's bottom lineits profitability, competitiveness, etc.	4. Value: measures differences in quality, productivity, service, or sales, all of which can be expressed in terms of dollars

Source: Deniz Eseryel (2002) Approaches to Evaluation of Training: Theory & Practice; Educational Technology & Society 5 (2)