

The heart of the exercise is the discussion, debate and dialogue that is generated as factors are arranged and re-arranged, often forming sub-dividing roots and branches.

Discussion questions might include

- Does this represent the reality? Are the economic, political and socio-cultural dimensions to the problem considered?
- Which causes and consequences are getting better, which are getting worse and which are staying the same?
- What are the most serious consequences? Which are of most concern? What criteria are important to us in thinking about a way forward?
- Which causes are easiest/ most difficult to address? What possible solutions or options might there be? Where could a policy change help address a cause of consequence, or create a solution?
- What decisions have we made, and what actions have we agreed?

The problem tree can be converted into an objectives tree by rephrasing each of the problems into positive desirable outcomes – as if the problem has already been treated. In this way, root causes and consequences are turned into root solutions, and key project or influencing entry points are quickly established.

Key points to remember

- The quality of output will be determined by who is involved in the analysis and the skills of the facilitator. Involving stakeholder representatives with appropriate knowledge and skills is critical.
- It may be best to run separate problem analysis workshops with different stakeholder groups.
- The process is as important as the product and should be seen as a learning experience and an opportunity for different views and interests to be expressed.
- The problem tree should be a valid but simple representation of the current negative situation. It cannot (and should not) contain or explain the complexities of every identifiable cause-effect relationship.



unitar

United Nations Institute for Training and Research